

**Appl. No.** : **09/447,227**  
**Filed** : **November 22, 1999**

## **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW**

### Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

The telephonic interview was conducted on March 19, 2007 and attended by Examiner Robert L. Nasser and Applicants' representative Laura Johnson.

### Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None.

### Identification of Claims Discussed

Claim 34.

### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

U.S. Patent No. 5,387,327 to Khan and U.S. Pat. No. 5,165,407 to Wilson et al.

### Proposed Amendments

Applicants' representative proposed language for independent claims to overcome the prior art rejections of the pending Office Action. Namely, Applicants' representative proposed to amend the independent claims to positively recite that the sensor is directly in contact with the protruding convexly curved portion and/or that the sensing mechanism is convexly protruding.

### Principal Arguments and Other Matters

None of the cited references teaches, discloses or fairly suggests a sensor directly in contact with a convexly curved portion and/or a sensing mechanism that is convexly protruding.

### Results of Interview

Examiner Nasser agreed that language including "a sensing mechanism directly in contact with the convexly protruding portion" would overcome the current rejection.